ON A PARTICULAR FOLIATION ASSOCIATED WITH A POLYNOMIAL FAMILY OF NONINVERTIBLE MAPS OF THE PLANE
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The present work describes a family of polynomial noninvertible maps of the plane shared within two open regions: (i) (denoted by $Z_0$) each point having no real preimage, and (ii) (denoted by $Z_2$) each point having two real preimages. The regions $Z_0$, $Z_2$ are separated by the critical curve $LC$, locus of points having two coincident preimages. $Z_2$ is made up of two sheets (giving rise to a plane foliation) joining on $LC$, each being associated with a well-defined inverse of the map. The considered maps family is structurally unstable. For a wide choice of the parameter space it generates a singular foliation in the sense that the region $Z_2$ is separated into two zones, $Z_2'$ and $Z_2''$, inside which the two preimages do not have the same qualitative behavior. Moreover, the boundary between $Z_2'$ and $Z_2''$ is made up of points having only one real preimage at finite distance, the second one being at infinity. This situation gives rise to a nonclassical homoclinic bifurcation. The maps of the family have another important feature: their inverses present a denominator which vanish along a line of the plane. This has a great consequence on a chaotic attractor structure, when it exists. The imbedding of the map into a wider structurally stable family, generating regions $Z_k$ ($k = 1, 3$ being the number of real preimages), permits to understand the foliation nature when the imbedding parameter cancels leading to the structurally unstable map.
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1. Introduction

The object of the paper is to describe the dynamic behavior associated with a family of two-dimensional maps having a particular Riemann foliation of the phase plane. The family we consider comes from an applied model (to economic and finance, see [Foroni, 2001; Foroni & Gardini, 2003]. This model is not structurally stable. So an explanation of its nonstandard behavior is obtained by imbedding the map into a larger family, which transforms the foliation into one of standard type.
The two-dimensional noninvertible map family is given by

\[ T : \begin{cases} x' = cx(y-a) \\ y' = x^2(b-y) \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

It is of so-called \( Z_0 - Z_2 \) type, i.e. the plane is divided into two regions: \( Z_2 \) a point having two real rank-one preimages, \( Z_0 \) a point having no real rank-one preimages [Mira et al., 1994, 1996a, 1996b]. Commonly inside \( Z_2 \) the plane can be considered as made up of two sheets: one related to the first preimage, the other related to the second one. The two sheets join at a critical curve \( LC \), locus of points having two coincident preimages on the curve \( LC_{-1} \), \( T(LC_{-1}) = LC \). With respect to this common situation described in [Mira et al., 1994, 1996a, 1996b] the map (1) gives rise to a particular organization of the sheets associated with each of the two preimages generated by the map. So for \( c > 0 \) and \( b > a > 0 \) the resulting plane foliation is singular in the sense that the region \( Z_2 \) is separated into two zones \( Z'_2 \) (the \( y < 0 \) part of \( Z_2 \) \( Z''_2 \) \( y > 0 \)) inside which the two preimages do not have the same qualitative behavior. Moreover, the boundary \( (y = 0) \) between \( Z'_2 \) and \( Z''_2 \) is made up of points having only one real preimage at finite distance, the second one being at infinity. On the line \( (x = 0) \) the Jacobian determinant of \( T \) vanishes, but its image reduces to a single point (the fixed point \( O = (0,0) \)), and we shall see that it is a degenerate focal point of the inverses of \( T \). In the region \( Z''_2 \) the two distinct preimages are separated by the line \( x = 0 \) in which the Jacobian determinant is vanishing.

The imbedding of the map into a wider structurally stable family \( T_\varepsilon \), generating regions \( Z_k \) \( (k = 1,3) \) being the number of real preimages), permits to understand the foliation nature (i.e. the sheets structure of the plane) when the imbedding parameter cancels leading to the structurally unstable map.

The plan of our work is as follows. Section 2 describes some peculiar properties of the map \( T \), their dependance on the parameters \( a, b \) and \( c \) is considered in subsections. In particular, Sec. 2.1 \((c > 0 \) and \( b > a > 0 \)) illustrates the route giving rise to chaotic attractor, by crossing through the first homoclinic bifurcation of the saddle fixed point \( O \). The existence here of a line mapped into a point is associated with a vanishing denominator of the two inverses of the map, which often leads to specific bifurcation cases for a chaotic attractor [Bischi et al., 1999]. Section 3 is devoted to the imbedding of \( T \) into a wider family \( T_\varepsilon \) \( \varepsilon \) being the imbedding parameter) generating regions \( Z_k \) \( (k = 1,3) \). The evolution of the foliation when \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \) permits to identify the sheets organization of the plane at the limit \( \varepsilon = 0 \). The persistence of the homoclinic bifurcation of the saddle fixed point in the perturbed map \( T_\varepsilon \) is discussed in Sec. 3.1.

2. Properties of the Family \( T \)

2.1. Some general properties

In Sec. 2 we describe some features (sometimes very particular) of the two-dimensional map \( T \) given in (1). In the next subsection we shall remark on the dynamic behavior of \( T \) when the parameter \( c \) has positive values, and \( b > a > 0 \). In Sec. 2.2 we shall see the case \( c > 0 \) and \( 0 < b < a \) while in Sec. 2.3 the case \( a = b \). The case \( c < 0 \) is considered in Sec. 2.4.

Let us describe here some properties which hold in all the cases except for \( a = b \).

It is immediate to see that the origin \( O = (0,0) \) is a fixed point of \( T \) (not unique as we shall see below), and that the whole line \( x = 0 \) is mapped into the origin in one iteration. As evidenced in the paper [Bischi et al., 1999], the existence of a line which is mapped into one point denotes the existence of a vanishing denominator in at least one of the inverses of the map. In fact, looking for the preimages of a point \( (x', y') \), we have \( y = (x'/cx) + a \) where \( x \) is the solution of the following equation

\[ c(b-a)x^2 - x'x - cy' = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

so that we may have no solution or two distinct solutions, called rank-one preimages of \( (x', y') \), given by

\[ T^{-1}_1(x', y') : \begin{cases} x = x' - \sqrt{x'^2 + 4c^2y'(b-a)} \\ y = \frac{2(b-a)x'}{x' - \sqrt{x'^2 + 4c^2y'(b-a)}} \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

which exist when \( x'^2 + 4c^2y'(b-a) > 0 \). Thus we have the following two regions, which characterize the
foliation of the plane:

\[ Z_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + 4c^2y(b - a) < 0\} \]  
\[ Z_2' = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + 4c^2y(b - a) > 0, y < 0\} \]  
\[ Z_2'' = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + 4c^2y(b - a) > 0, y > 0\} \]  

The boundary \( y = 0 \) separating the two regions \( Z_2' \) and \( Z_2'' \) is particular because its points have only one of the two distinct rank-one preimages at finite distance. Indeed a point belonging to \( y = 0 \) with \( x > 0 \) is such that \( T^{-1}_1(x', 0) = (0, \pm \infty) \) and \( T^{-1}_2(x', 0) = (x'/c(b - a), b) \) which belongs to the line \( y = b \), while if \( x < 0 \) then \( T^{-1}_1(x', 0) = (x'/c(b - a), b) \) belongs to the line \( y = b \) and \( T^{-1}_2(x', 0) = (0, \pm \infty) \). Clearly, also from the relation given in (2) we have that the inverses of a point with \( x' \neq 0 \) and \( y' = 0 \) are \((0, \pm \infty) \) and \((x'/c(b - a), b)\). Thus the \( x \)-axis \( y = 0 \) behaves as a particular set \( Z_1 \), the points of which have only one real preimage at finite distance.

The boundary separating the two regions \( Z_0 \) and \( Z_2' \) is the classical critical curve \( LC \) defined by:

\[ LC : y = \frac{1}{4c^2(b - a)} x^2 \]  

Each point belonging to \( LC \), except the origin, has two merging preimages on the locus \( LC_{-1} \), which necessarily is a set of points in which the Jacobian determinant of \( T \) vanishes. In fact, from (3) we have immediately that the locus of merging preimages has the equation

\[ LC_{-1} : y = (2b - a) \]  

and \( T(LC_{-1}) = LC \). On the other hand, the Jacobian determinant of \( T \) is given by:

\[ \det J(x, y) = c2^2(y - (2b - a)) \]

thus the Jacobian determinant vanishes on \( LC_{-1} \) given in (7) and also on the \( y \)-axis \( x = 0 \). However, as noticed above, this set (the vertical axis) is here a particular singular set, because it is mapped into a single point (the fixed point \( O = (0, 0) \)), and it is associated with the vanishing denominator of at least one of the inverses. In fact, as can be seen from the explicit expressions given in (3) (and already remarked above), the half-axis \( x' \geq 0 \) and \( y' = 0 \) (resp. \( x' \leq 0 \) and \( y' = 0 \)) is a vanishing denominator for the second component of \( T^{-1}_1 \) (resp. of \( T^{-1}_2 \)). Reminds that a map having a vanishing denominator possesses a set of points, called the prefocal set, whose preimages are related with a single point called the focal point (for more details refer to the paper [Bischi et al., 1999], see also [Bischi et al., 2001, 2002]. In the present case the inverse \( T^{-1} = T^{-1}_1 \cup T^{-1}_2 \) of \( T \) has a vanishing denominator, and so the image of \( x = 0 \) (the prefocal set) is a point \((x = y = 0)\) (the focal point), for the inverse map \( T^{-1} \). Indeed this is the case, i.e. arcs through the origin are mapped by \( T^{-1}_{1,2} \) into arcs through the point \((0, a)\) or into arcs issuing from two suitable points of the \( y \)-axis (which depend on the chosen arc through \( O \)). From the properties of the focal point we have that an arc through the origin has both the two preimages at finite distance, issuing from a point of the prefocal set. In our case we have to consider different arcs through the origin. First consider an arc \( \gamma \) in the following parametric form:

\[ x(\tau) = \xi_1 \tau + \xi_2 \tau^2 + \cdots \]
\[ y(\tau) = \eta_1 \tau + \eta_2 \tau^2 + \cdots \]

with \( \xi_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \eta_1 \neq 0 \), taking the images \( T^{-1}_{1,2}(\gamma) \) by using the explicit expression given in (3), and letting \( \tau \to 0 \), then we get two arcs issuing from the single point \((0, a)\) of the \( y \)-axis. The qualitative picture of Fig. 1 shows the preimages of two such arcs, labeled \( \gamma \) and \( \eta \). Then consider a “parabolic” arc \( \gamma \) given by:

\[ x(\tau) = \xi_1 \tau + \xi_2 \tau^2 + \cdots \]
\[ y(\tau) = \eta_2 \tau^2 + \cdots \]

with \( \xi_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \eta_2 \neq 0 \), and its images \( T^{-1}_{1,2}(\gamma) \). When \( \tau \to 0 \), we get two arcs issuing from two distinct points of the \( y \)-axis:

\[ \left(0, \frac{2(b - a)\xi_1}{\xi_1 - \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + 4c^2(2b - a)\eta_2}} + a\right) \text{ with } T^{-1}_{1}(\gamma) \]
\[ \left(0, \frac{2(b - a)\xi_1}{\xi_1 + \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + 4c^2(2b - a)\eta_2}} + a\right) \text{ with } T^{-1}_{2}(\gamma) \]

Thus varying \( \xi_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \), i.e. the curvature of such “parabolic” arcs tangent to \( y = 0 \) at the origin, the whole \( y \)-axis can be obtained, which satisfies the definition of prefocal set of the inverses of \( T \). This property clarifies the geometric structure of the attracting set occurring for particular choices of the parameters, as described in the next subsections.
Summarizing, as seen above, the two rank-one preimages of a point \( P \in (y = 0), \ P \neq O \), are one at the point \((0, \pm \infty)\) and the other on the line \(y = b\). Thus if \( P \) is taken close to the line \((y = 0)\), then one of the two preimages is close to infinity and the other is close to the line \(y = b\). Arcs crossing through the origin have two distinct rank-one preimages crossing through the single point \((0, a)\) or through two suitable points of the \(y\)-axis (prefocal set of \(T_{1,2}^{-1}\)).

Besides the elements seen up to now, there is also another peculiarity in the dynamics of the map \(T\): the straight line of equation \( y = a \) is mapped into the line \( x = 0 \) so that its second iterate is the fixed point \( O, \ T^2(\{y = a\}) = (0, 0) \). Clearly, from the property of the line \( x = 0 \) for \( T \), we can deduce that of the line \( y = a \) for the map \( T^2 \). That is, \( y = a \) is the prefocal set associated with the focal point \( O \) for the map \( T^{-2} \). This means that the rank-two preimages of an arc through the origin are arcs which cross the line \( y = a \).

The properties described above will have consequences also on the foliation of the plane, as we shall see in the following subsections. It is also worth noting a symmetry property of the map. From \( T(-x, y) = (-x', y') \) we have that an invariant set of \( T \) is either symmetric with respect to the \(y\)-axis, or the symmetric one also exists. So pairs of period-\(k\) cycles, \( k \) odd or even, the points of which permute in the same way, have this symmetry. This property has consequences on the position of the cycles of \( T \). Looking for the possible fixed points \((x^*, y^*)\) of \( T \) we see that besides the origin two more fixed points may exist, when \((ac + 1)/(c(b - a) - 1) > 0\), given as

\[
y^* = a + \frac{1}{c}, \quad x^* = \pm \sqrt{\frac{y^*}{b-y^*}} \tag{8}
\]

while a period-2 cycle with points \( \{P_1, P_2\} = \{(-x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_1)\} \) exists when \((ac - 1)/(c(b - a) + 1) > 0\), given by

\[
y_1 = a - \frac{1}{c}, \quad x_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{y_1}{b-y_1}}. \tag{9}
\]

### 2.2. Case \(c > 0\) and \(b > a > 0\)

From the analysis of the two inverses given in (3), it is easy to see that in the case \(c > 0, \ b > a\) the foliation associated with the map \(T\) is very particular. The critical set is the parabola \(LC\) belonging to the half-plane \(y < 0\), however the portion of plane which is “folded” on \(LC\) is only the portion above the line \(y = b\), that is, the whole half-plane \(y > b\) (which includes \(LC_{-1}\), being \(2b - a > b\)), is mapped (twice) in the region \(Z_2^c\), as shown in the qualitative drawing of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Stated in other words, each point belonging to the region \(Z_2^c\) has one rank-one preimage belonging to the strip bounded by the
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two preimages given in (3), it is easy to see that we can consider only one side, the symmetry giving in the region $x$ left (and $x$ right) play a special role in the foliation of the map $T$. Thus we see that these two lines ($y = a$ and $y = b$) serve the stable set $W^S$ for the map $T$.

Figure 2 shows that each region $Z_2'$ and $Z_2''$ has a symmetric part with respect to the $y$-axis, labeled left ($L$) and right ($R$). Due to the symmetry we can consider only one side, the symmetry giving the behavior on the other side. By analyzing the two preimages given in (3), it is easy to see that any point $P$ belonging to the region $Z_2''_L$ has the preimage $T^{-1}_1(P)$ belonging to the left part of the strip bounded by the straight lines $y = a$ and $y = b$, while $T^{-1}_2(P)$ belongs to the right half-plane $x > 0$ below the line $y = a$ (see Fig. 1). Roughly speaking, any point $P \in Z_2''_L$ has one of the two preimages on the left, and the other on the right of the vertical axis $x = 0$, one above and one below the straight line $y = a$. Thus we see that these two lines ($x = 0$ and $y = a$) play a special role in the foliation of the plane associated with the map $T$. In the present case the foliation seems related to the properties of the focal point $O$ for the inverses of $T$ and $T^2$. From the properties of the focal point we have that an arc through the origin has both the two preimages at finite distance, issuing from a point of the pre- focal set. As we have seen in the previous section, in our case these preimages are either issuing from the same point $(0, a)$ (intersection between the two lines $x = 0$ and $y = a$), or issuing from two different points of the axis $x = 0$ (prefocal set of the inverses $T^{-1}_{1,2}$). And $y = a$ is the prefocal set of the focal point $O$ for $T^{-2}$.

This section shows how the particular foliation is involved in explaining some properties of the dynamic behaviors of the map, associated with the basin boundaries and associated with the attracting sets. At low values of the parameter $c$ the only fixed point is the origin $O$. It is a stable node for $0 < c < 1/a$ one of its two eigenvalues (or multipliers) always being $S_1 = 0$, the other being $S_2 = -ac$. The value $ac = 1$ ($S_2 = -1$) corresponds to a flip bifurcation giving rise to $c > 1/a$ for the period-2 cycle (9) (stable when $ac$ is not too far from 1), $O$ becoming a saddle. The periodic points, say $P_1 = (-x, y)$ and $P_2 = (x, y)$, which are fixed points of the map $T^2$, give us the opportunity to observe the stable set $W^S(O)$ of the saddle $O$ (which is also related to the basins of $T$ in the case $c < 0$, as we shall see in Sec. 2.4). $W^S(O)$ is the frontier separating the basins $B(P_1)$ and $B(P_2)$ for the map $T^2$, it is made up of the line $x = 0$ and its rank-$n$ preimages, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, $W^S(O) = \cup_n T^{-n} \{(x = 0)\}$, $T^{-1} \{(x = 0)\} = \{(y = a)\}$.

Figure 3(a) shows these two basins in green and dark gray, and the frontier separating them (i.e. the stable set $W^S(O)$) has arcs in the region $Z_0$ which are approaching the critical curve $LC$. The light gray part is the basin $B(\infty)$, set of points having
Fig. 3. Green and dark gray points denote the basins of the points $P_1$ and $P_2$ for the map $T^2$ at $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$ and $c = 1.85$ in (a), $c = 2$ in (b). The light gray points belong to the basin $B(\infty)$. 
Fig. 4. Green and dark gray points denote the basins of the attractors \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) for the map \( T^2 \) at \( a = 0.75 \), \( b = 1.3 \) and \( c = 2.5 \). The light gray points belong to the basin \( B(\infty) \), whose boundary includes the fixed points \( P^*_L \) and \( P^*_R \).

divergent trajectories. As the parameter \( c \) increases \((a, b \) remaining constant\), a contact of the frontier with the critical curve \( LC \), followed by a transversal crossing, occurs. This situation causes a qualitative change in the stable set of \( O \) and a qualitative change in the structure of the two basins [Mira et al., 1994, 1996a]. It results in the creation of two new portions of the basins [Fig. 3(b)], made up of areas on opposite sides of \( LC_{-1} \), both on the right and on the left of the \( y \)-axis (by the symmetry). The frontier \( \partial B(\infty) \) is a limit set for \( W^S(O) \), and so belongs to its closure. It is asymptotic to the line \( y = a \) and to all its preimages of any rank. It also includes the stable set of some cycles. For example, when \( c > 1/(b - a) \) two more fixed points of \( T \) exist and belong to \( \partial B(\infty) \). These fixed points appear (as \( c \) increases) due to a nonstandard bifurcation occurring at \( c = 1/(b - a) \), which involves the improper point (i.e. at infinity) of the line \( y = a + (1/c) \) and no other cycle at finite distance. In fact, at this bifurcation value, the two fixed points given in (8), called

\[
P^*_L = \left( - \frac{a + \frac{1}{c}}{b - \left( a + \frac{1}{c} \right)} \right), \quad \left( a + \frac{1}{c} \right),
\]

\[
P^*_R = \left( \frac{a + \frac{1}{c}}{b - \left( a + \frac{1}{c} \right)} \right), \quad \left( a + \frac{1}{c} \right)
\]

are the points at infinity on the line \( y = a + (1/c) \), i.e. \(( -\infty, a+(1/c)) \) and \((+\infty, a+(1/c)) \). This means that for \( c = 1/(b - a) \) they constitute the same point on the Poincaré’s equator, while after the bifurcation they belong to the frontier \( \partial B(\infty) \). Then we may consider this bifurcation as a pitchfork-bifurcation of a fixed point on the Poincaré equator. The characteristic polynomial associated with
Fig. 5. Chaotic attractor of $T$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$ and $c = 2.545$ in (a). The light gray points belong to the basin $B(\infty)$. 
(b) Show an enlargement of the region in (a) around the fixed point.
the Jacobian matrices of $J(P^*_L)$ and $J(P^*_R)$ are the same, so that they have the same eigenvalues. At the bifurcation value $c = 1/(b-a)$, roughly speaking, we can say that the two eigenvalues are $S_1 = -\infty$ and $S_2 = 1$, while for $c > 1/(b-a)$, as long as some attracting set at finite distance can be observed, the fixed points $P^*_L$ and $P^*_R$ are two unstable nodes, with $S_1 < -1$ and $S_2 > 1$ ($|S_1| > |S_2|$), giving rise to two cusp points of the frontier, and cusps for their increasing rank preimages. These two fixed points on $\partial B(\infty)$ can be seen in Fig. 4.

This figure also shows an attracting set, say $A_1$, of the map $T^2$, which with its symmetric (with respect to $x = 0$) part $A_2$ constitutes a period-2 attractor $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ for $T$. The attractor $A$ appears from a Neimark bifurcation undergone by the period-2 cycle $\{P_1, P_2\}$ giving rise to a period-2 invariant closed curve turning into chaotic sets when $c$ increases. Figure 4 shows that for $c = 2.5$ the two symmetric disjoint attractors of $T^2$ are very close to the stable set $W^S(O)$, boundary separating $B(A_1)$ and $B(A_2)$. The two attractors belong to the closure of the unstable set of the origin, $W^K(O)$. A contact between the attractor $A$ and the basin boundaries $\partial B(A_1)$ and $\partial B(A_2)$ corresponds to the appearance of the first homoclinic orbits of the saddle $O$. After the tangential contact at the bifurcation, a transversal crossing of the stable and unstable sets occurs, $W^S(O) \cap W^K(O) \neq \emptyset$. With the values of $a$ and $b$ used in the figures of this section, this bifurcation occurs at a value $c^*$ such that $c^* \in (2.522, 2.523)$.

It is worth noticing that perhaps also for $c < c^*$, $c$ close to $c^*$, the disjoint attracting sets of $T^2$ are weakly chaotic rings (see [Mira et al., 1996a; Frouzakis et al., 1997]). However, it is certain that the attracting set of $T$ has a chaotic (fractal) structure after the homoclinic bifurcation of $O$, for $c > c^*$. This is due to the fact that the attracting set necessarily includes infinitely many loops issuing from the origin. An example is shown in Fig. 5.

The images of the sets which seem like arcs in Fig. 5 (but really they are part of a weakly chaotic ring, as it will be clear below) crossing (after the homoclinic bifurcation of $O$) the straight line $y = a$, denoted by $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ in Fig. 5(a), are mapped by $T$ into two symmetric “arcs” issuing from the vertical axis [see the points $A$ and $B$ in Fig. 5(a)], then $T^2(\gamma_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are two symmetric “arcs” issuing from the origin, and thus also $T^k(\gamma_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $k > 2$ are two symmetric “arcs” issuing from the origin [see some enlargements in Fig. 5(b)]. And further images of these “arcs” will necessarily cross again the line $y = a$ in other smaller “arcs”, whose images will show again loops issuing from the origin, whose images ..., and so on recursively, in a self-similar way. Due to the prefocal property of $x = 0$ related to $T^{-1}$, mentioned in Sec. 2.1, the images of arcs intersecting the $y$-axis are arcs with a “parabolic” contact with the $x$-axis at the focal point $O$. The inner structure of the chaotic attractor of $T$ can be better observed at a higher value of $c$, as shown in Fig. 6. The geometric shape of the chaotic attractor at the origin (as shown in Figs. 5 and 6) is clearly explained via the property of the focal point $O$ for the inverses of $T^{-1}$ and $T^{-2}$, which give, for the forward iterations of $T$, a particular point (also called knot point in [Bisch et al., 2001]).

Up to now we have not commented on the absorbing regions of the map, bounded by arcs of critical curves $LC_k = T^k(LC)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ The attracting sets belong to the strip bounded by the straight lines $y = 0$ and $y = b$, inside which no branch of $LC_{-1}$ or $LC$ exists. However, the critical curve $LC_1 = T(LC)$ belongs to the half-plane $y > 0$ and taking the images of a small segment of $LC_{-1}$ around $x = 0$ a closed absorbing area [Mira et al., 1996a] can be obtained, which includes the attracting sets, bounded by an arc of $LC_2$, as shown in Fig. 7 with the hatched area (the behavior is qualitatively similar at any value of $c > 0$). We can also get the external boundary of a chaotic area, as shown in Fig. 8(a), by using a few images of a very small segment of $LC_{-1}$ around $x = 0$. This external boundary of the chaotic attractor, shown in Fig. 6, is obtained by a few arcs of $LC_2, \ldots, LC_5$. With further images of the same arc we get the shape of the chaotic area in a very few iterations, up to $LC_{16}$, as shown in Fig. 8(b) (compare this figure with Fig. 6).

It is also clear that increasing $c$ the chaotic area approaches the boundary of its basin, frontier of $B(\infty)$, as shown in Fig. 9, where the value of $c$ is very close to the contact bifurcation, after which only a chaotic repeller survives at finite distance.

2.3. Case $c > 0$ and $a > b > 0$

From the analysis of the two inverses given in (3), it is easy to see that in the case $c > 0$ and $a > b > 0$ the foliation of the phase plane is simpler with respect to the previous case. The curve $LC_{-1}$ (line $y = 2b - a$) is below the line $y = b$ which in turn is below the line $y = a$, and the critical curve $LC$,
Fig. 6. Chaotic attracting set of the map $T$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$ and $c = 2.7$. The light gray points belong to the basin $B(\infty)$.

Fig. 7. Forward images of the small arc $\gamma$ of $LC_{-1}$ for the map $T$ at $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$ and $c = 2$. Portions of $LC_2$ bound an absorbing area.
Fig. 8. (a) The external boundary of the attractor shown in Fig. 6 is obtained with arcs of $LC_2, \ldots, LC_5$, images of a small arc of $LC_{-1}$. (b) Further images of the same arc of critical curves put in evidence the qualitative shape of the attracting set.
the parabola given in (6), belongs to the half-plane \( y > 0 \). The region \( Z''_2 \) is the half-plane \( y < 0 \), while the region \( Z'_2 \) is the portion of plane between the parabola and the \( x \)-axis (see the qualitative picture in Fig. 10). The region \( Z''_2 \) still exists which is not crossed by the critical curve \( LC \), and whose preimages are one on the right and another on the left of the line \( x = 0 \), as well as one above and another below the line \( y = a \) (see Fig. 10), but not on the opposite side with respect to \( LC_{-1} \). However, now this region is less interesting from a dynamical point of view (with respect to the case \( b > a > 0 \)) because the attracting sets of \( T \) belong to the region \( Z'_2 \).

2.4. Case \( c > 0 \) and \( a = b > 0 \)

The transition from the foliation of the plane in the case \( b > a \) to that of the case \( b < a \) occurs via another peculiar families of maps which are obtained from \( T \) when \( a = b \). In this case the map becomes

\[
T : \begin{cases}
  x' = cx(y - a) \\
  y' = -x^2(y - a)
\end{cases}
\]

The two straight lines \( y = a \) and \( x = 0 \) are mapped into the origin \( O \) in one iteration, and no other point can be mapped on the two axes \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 0 \). Any other point \((x', y')\) of the plane has a unique preimage given by

\[
T^{-1}(x', y') : \begin{cases}
  x = -\frac{cy'}{x'} \\
  y = a - \frac{(x')^2}{c^2 y'}
\end{cases}
\]  

(11)

Thus the map becomes invertible (i.e. with a unique inverse) except for the points on the two axes \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 0 \). The two lines \( y = a \) and \( x = 0 \) represent the locus of points in which the Jacobian determinant of \( T \) vanishes, being \( \text{det } J(T) = cx^2(y - a) \), and also represent the locus of points in which the inverse has a vanishing denominator.

For \( ac > 1 \) there exists a two-cycle given in (9) (clearly with \( a = b \)), bifurcated from the origin \( \text{via} \) a flip bifurcation, while for \( ac < -1 \) there exist two fixed points given in (8), i.e. in (10) (clearly with \( a = b \)), bifurcated from the origin \( \text{via} \) a pitchfork bifurcation.
Fig. 10. Critical curves of the map $T$ in the case $c > 0$, $a > b > 0$. The two preimages of a point belonging to $Z'_2$ are on the opposite side with respect to the line $LC_{-1}$, while the two preimages of a point belonging to $Z''_2$ are above $LC_{-1}$, on the opposite side with respect to the line $x = 0$ as well as to the line $y = a$.

We note that the existence of the two lines in the set $S = \{\{y = a\}, \{x = 0\}\}$ mapped into the origin makes the iteration properties of this two-dimensional map $T$ very different with respect to the behavior of the maps with a unique inverse in the whole phase plane. For example, any arc crossing twice this set $S$ is mapped into an arc with a loop in the origin. When the origin $O$ is a saddle, $S$ belongs to the stable set $W^S(O)$. In its turn $W^S(O)$ is given by the preimages of any rank of the line $y = a$. The invariant set constituting the frontier of the set of divergent trajectories $B(\infty)$ is the limit set of $W^S(O)$, boundary between the basins of the two disjoint attractors (of $T^2$ if $ac > 1$, or of $T$ if $ac < -1$), say $B(A_1)$ and $B(A_2))$. See Fig. 11(a), where the attractor is the period-2 cycle of $T$ and the basins shown are those generated by the map $T^2$. The stable set of the origin is shown in Fig. 11(b), obtained with a few preimages of the line $y = a$.

The peculiar role of the set $S$ becomes evident for the forward iterations of $T$ after the homoclinic bifurcation of $O$, giving rise to a chaotic attractor which intersects the line $y = a$ in infinitely many arcs with self-similar structure, and consequently loops issuing from the origin. Because of $T(y = a) = O$ we have that the origin is a particular focal point for the inverse $T^{-1}$ and the straight
Fig. 11. Map $T$ in the case $a = b = 0.75$ and $c = 2.6$. (a) Basins of attraction of the points $P_1$ and $P_2$ for the map $T^2$. The light gray points belong to the basin $B(\infty)$. (b) Stable set $W^S(O)$. 
line $y = a$ plays the role of its prefocal set [Bisci et al., 1999, 2001]. This justifies the attractor loops issued from $O$ after crossing through the line $y = a$. An example is shown in Fig. 12, in which the chaotic attractor is close to its final bifurcation, due to a contact with the frontier of its basin.

2.5. Case $c < 0$

From the definition of the map $T_c(x, y)$ in (1) where we have evidenced the dependence on the parameter $c$, it is immediate to see that $T_{c}^2(x, y) = T_{c}(x, y)$.

Thus the case with the parameter $c$ negative comes immediately from that with the parameter $c$ positive. For example, let us consider the case $b > a$. As $c$ decreases from zero the stable fixed point $O$ (attracting node) loses stability at $c = -1/a$ for which a pitchfork bifurcation occurs, giving rise to two stable fixed points, given in (8), i.e. in (10). Then the phase-plane behavior corresponding to the case considered in Fig. 3 with $c$ negative, but with the same absolute value (i.e. $c = -1.85$ and $c = -2$), is exactly the one shown in Fig. 3 (which now represents the basins of $T$ and not of $T^2$). Similarly the case with $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$ and $c = -2.5$ is shown in Fig. 4 where the periodic points on the frontier now belong to a two-cycle, that is, at $c = -1/(b - a)$, a period-2 cycle given in (9) appears from a bifurcation of the point at infinity on the line $y = a - (1/c)$.

3. Perturbed map $T_\varepsilon$

This section considers a map obtained as a perturbation of the map $T$, showing how the particular foliation associated with the map $T$, seen in the previous section, may be the result of a bifurcation of another family of maps, given by

$$T_\varepsilon : \begin{cases} x' = cx(y - a) + \varepsilon y \\ y' = x^2(b - y) \end{cases}$$

in which $T_0$ corresponds to $T$.

The Jacobian determinant is given by

$$\det(J(T_\varepsilon)) = -x(cx(y - a) + 2(b - y)(cx + \varepsilon))$$

It vanishes on the set $LC_{-1}$ made up of an hyperbola and the vertical axis. The hyperbola

$$LC_{-1,a,b} : y = \frac{xc(2b - a) + 2b\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon + cx}$$

is made up of two symmetric branches $LC_{-1,a}$ and $LC_{-1,b}$ [see Fig. 13(a)], with asymptotes $x = -2\varepsilon/c$.
Fig. 13. Critical curves of the map $T_\varepsilon$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$, $c = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 0.7$. $LC_{-1}$ in (a), $LC$ in (b).
and \( y = (2b - a) \). The third branch is:

\[
LC_{-1,c} : x = 0 .
\]

The locus \( LC_{-1} \) is \( LC_{-1} = LC_{-1,a} \cup LC_{-1,b} \cup LC_{-1,c} \). For the sake of simplicity, we only comment the case \( c > 0 \) and \( b > a > 0 \) (the other cases are straightforward). The rank-one image of \( LC_{-1} \) is \( LC \) made up of three branches, \( T \in (LC_{-1,a}) = LC_a, T \in (LC_{-1,b}) = LC_b, \) and \( T \in (LC_{-1,b}) = LC_b = (y = 0) \) [see Fig. 13(b)]. These branches of \( LC \) separate the phase plane into open regions \( Z_i, i = 1, 3 \) (as will be explained below), \( i \) being the number of distinct rank-one preimages of a point \( Z_i \). Crossing through a branch of \( LC \) the number of distinct rank-one preimages changes. The preimages \( (x, y) \) of a point \( (x', y') \) of the phase plane are not easily computable, depending on the real solutions of the cubic equation:

\[
c(b - a)x^3 + (b - a)x^2 - cy'x - ey' = 0
\]

\[
y = b - \frac{y'}{x^2} \text{ (} x \text{ a solution of the cubic equation) }
\]

Thus the distinct preimages of a point \( (x', y') \) inside the open regions \( Z_1 \) (or \( Z_3 \)) are either one (or three). It is easy to verify that whenever a point \( (x', y') \) crosses through a branch of \( LC_{-1} \) the number of its distinct preimages changes as qualitatively shown in Fig. 13(b) so that, following the notation used in [Gumowski & Mira, 1980] and in [Mira et al., 1996a, 1996b], all these curves belong to the critical set denoted by \( LC \).

The foliation of the phase plane associated with the map \( T \in (LC) \) (for \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \)) is qualitatively shown in Fig. 14(a). This figure shows the disposition of the “sheets” (one or three), each one being related to a rank-one preimage of a point \( P' = (x', y') \), say \( p_i = (r_i, y_i) \). Each sheet is related to one of the real roots of the cubic equation given in (12), denoted as \( r_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \), \( r_1 < r_2 < r_3 \) when they are three, while in the case of only one root it may be negative \( (r_1 < 0) \) or positive \( (r_3 > 0) \). The branch of critical curve \( LC \) denoted by \( LC_a \) has a cusp point in \( C \) (in which we have \( r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0 \)) and the two branches issuing from the cusp point are denoted by \( NC \) and \( MC \). The branch \( LC_b \) has a smooth point in the turning point \( V \). A cusp point exists at the intersection with the other critical branch \( LC_c \) at the point \( P \) on the \( x \)-axis (in which we have \( r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0 \)). The two branches issuing from the cusp point are denoted by \( PR \) (on the \( x \)-axis) and \( PQ \). While the branch \( PQ \) of \( LC_b \) is associated with the reunion of two sheets belonging to \( y < 0 \) [see Fig. 14(a)], the other part of \( LC_b \), the arc \( PVU \), is associated with the reunion of two sheets belonging to \( y > 0 \). Similarly a different role occurs on the critical branch \( LC_c \): the segment \( PR \) is associated with the reunion of two sheets belonging to \( y < 0 \), the other part of \( LC_c \), the segment \( PT \), is associated with the reunion of two sheets belonging to \( y > 0 \). The regions with a single root are the portion \( Z_1 \) belonging to \( y < 0 \) (in which we have one negative root), and the region \( Z_2 \) belonging to \( y > 0 \), the one bounded by a branch of \( LC_c \) (\( PR \)) and a branch of \( LC_b \) (\( PVU \)) (in which we have one positive root).

As the parameter \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \) the branch \( CN \) of the critical curve \( LC_a \) approaches the branch \( OT \) of the critical curve \( LC_c \) (for \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the cusp point \( C \) merges with the origin \( O \)), while the branch \( PVU \) of the critical curve \( LC_b \) approaches the branch \( PR \) of the critical curve \( LC_c \) (for \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the cusp point \( P \) merges with the point \( V \) and with the origin \( O \)). See Fig. 15(a) obtained with a low value of \( \varepsilon \).

For \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the cubic equation in (12) reduces to

\[
x(c(b - a)x^2 - x'x - cy') = 0
\]

so that whichever is the point \( (x', y') \), one root is \( x = 0 \), and the remaining quadratic equation in (13) is the same as the one given in (2), but the root associated with \( x = 0 \) is not real (having \( y = \infty \)). So that the map \( T_0 \) loses one root at finite distance at each point of the plane, becoming a map with either two real preimages or zero, and the foliation of the plane (which loses one sheet) is qualitatively shown in Fig. 14(b) and fully explains the particular structure of the map \( T \) of type “\( Z_0, Z_2 \)” considered in the previous section (see Fig. 1).

For \( \varepsilon < 0 \) the foliation of the phase plane associated with the map \( T \) has a structure similar to that existing for \( \varepsilon > 0 \). The only change is in the geometrical position of the two branches of hyperbola \( LC_{-1,a,b} \) and thus of their image \( LC_{a,b} \) giving the foliation qualitatively shown in Fig. 15(b). In spite of the position of the critical branches with cusp points the structure of the foliation is clearly similar to that of Figs. 15(a) and 14(a).

The perturbed map \( T \) has an increased number of preimages with respect to the map \( T_0 \). This may clearly give rise to differences of behaviors in the basins of attraction generated by the two maps, the preimages playing a fundamental role. It is not the case for the structure of the attracting sets which may not be strongly influenced. An example will be shown in the next subsection.
Fig. 14. Qualitative structure of the Riemann foliation of the plane for the map $T_\epsilon$ in the case $c > 0, b > a > 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$ in (a), for $\epsilon = 0$ in (b).
Fig. 15. Critical curves and zones $Z_1 - Z_3$ for the map $T_\varepsilon$ in the case $c > 0, b > a > 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ in (a), for $\varepsilon < 0$ in (b).
Fig. 16. Basins of attraction of the points $P_1$ and $P_2$ for the map $T^2_c$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$, $c = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$ in (a), $\varepsilon = 0.2$ in (b).
Fig. 17. Basins of attraction of the chaotic attractors $A_1$ and $A_2$ for the map $T^2_\epsilon$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$, $c = 2.52$ and $\epsilon = 0.2$. (b) Shows an enlargement of the region in (a) around the fixed point.
Fig. 18. Chaotic attracting set of the map $T_\varepsilon$ for $a = 0.75$, $b = 1.3$, $c = 2.521$ and $\varepsilon = 0.2$. (a) The green points are those which visit the right side of the attracting set in less than 100 iterations of $T_\varepsilon$. (b) Shows an enlargement of the region in (a) around the fixed point.
3.1. Some attractors of $T_\varepsilon$

This subsection gives some examples of the attracting sets and their basins generated by the map $T_\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon$ increases from 0, starting from the case already described in Fig. 3. An example of perturbation is shown in Fig. 16(a), where the parameter $\varepsilon$ is very small.

The attracting set of $T_\varepsilon$ is a cycle of period-2, which corresponds to a couple of fixed points for the map $T_2^\varepsilon$. This gives us the possibility to show the structure of the stable set of the origin $O$ (now a saddle fixed point). This set $W^s(O)$ is the frontier of the two basins (in white and green) in Fig. 16(a). Differently from what occurred in Fig. 3 the local stable set of $O$ is no longer the whole $x$-axis, and the symmetry is broken. Figure 16(b) shows the same basins for a higher value of $\varepsilon$, the change in the basin structure being more evident. Increasing the parameter $c$ the Neimark-bifurcation of the two-cycle occurs (as expected) and the basins maintain the same qualitative structure. The two disjoint attracting sets (for the map $T_2^\varepsilon$) grow in size approaching the frontier of their basins, as shown in Fig. 17(a). An enlargement of the attracting set on the right is shown in Fig. 17(b), showing that the attracting set is already a weakly chaotic ring. The origin $O$ is still a saddle without any homoclinic orbit (as no intersection exists between its stable and unstable sets).

Nevertheless the attracting sets are quite close to their frontier, and as $c$ is further increased the homoclinic bifurcation of $O$ occurs when the attracting sets have a contact with the frontiers. Figure 18(a) shows the attracting set after the homoclinic bifurcation of $O$. Also for the map $T_2^\varepsilon$ the attracting set is now unique [see the enlargement in Fig. 18(b)]. The basin in Fig. 18(a) is of a unique color (being a unique attracting set), but we have shown the occurrence of the transverse crossing through the stable and unstable sets of $O$ by coloring in green the points which visit the right side of the attracting set in less than 100 iterations of $T_\varepsilon$.

4. Conclusions

The present work has described a family $T$ of polynomial noninvertible maps of the plane, which is structurally unstable. Except for the case in which the parameters $a$ and $b$ are equal, the plane is shared within open regions: $Z_0$, whose points have no real preimage, while two real preimages exist in the region $Z_2$. For a wide choice of the parameter space $T$ generates a singular foliation in the sense that the region $Z_2$ is separated into two zones, $Z_2'$ and $Z_2''$, inside which the two preimages do not have the same qualitative behavior. Moreover the $x$-axis, boundary between $Z_2'$ and $Z_2''$, is made up of points having only one real preimage at finite distance, the second one being at infinity. This situation gives rise to a nonclassical homoclinic bifurcation. We have seen that embedding the map into a wider structurally stable family, $T_\varepsilon$, we get regions $Z_k$ ($k = 1, 3$ being the number of real preimages) which permits to understand the foliation nature when the embedding parameter cancels leading to the structurally unstable map.

It is worth emphasizing the essential role, played by the inverse map having a vanishing denominator, on the chaotic attractor structure, as appearing in Figs. 5 and 6. This is due to the fact that the attractor contains the focal point of the inverse (here unique knot because it is a fixed point). Figure 37 of the papers [Bischi et al., 1999, 2003] shows an equivalent situation, but with a focal point which is not fixed, so that its increasing rank images are knot points of the attractor. The “dual situation” occurs when a map itself has vanishing denominators, which leads to lobes of basins at the focal points and their increasing rank preimages [Bischi et al., 1999, 2003].
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